

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,870	10/26/2001 7590 07/09/2003	Holger Warth	Mo-6717/LeA 34,668	1030
100 BAYER	DLYMERS LLC ROAD GH, PA 15205		EXAMINER BUTTNER, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
, , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/027,870	WARTH ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	David Buttner	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second status of the second status of the second status of the second status. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 <u>29 May 2003</u> .		٠
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applic			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	, , ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		` '	
If approved, corrected drawings are required		sapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ie Laminer.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	uraian ariaritu undar 25 II.C.C. S	440(a) (d) as (6)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reight phonity under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(u) or (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	manta haya haan rasaiyad		
<u> </u>		polication No	\neg
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	·	•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the action for a second content of	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
 a) The translation of the foreign languag 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 			
Attachment(s)		ť	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-15)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 inconsistently allows for 50-99% methacrylic esters, but later limits the methacrylic esters to 1-50%. Which is intended?

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Nodera '443 Patent.

Nodera exemplifies (Nos. 5, 6) blends of PC, HIPS, Metablen S2001, talc and other additives. Metablen S2001 is one of applicant's preferred silicone-acrylate grafts (page 15, line 24 of spec.). HIPS is-polymer based on styrene and qualifies as applicant's (B). Nodera (col. 4, line 63) also lists acrylonitrile/styrene copolymer as an alternative to HIPS. Glass fibers (col. 10, line 45) are listed as an alternative to talc.

Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the WO 00/12629 Patent.

US 6403683 is relied on as a translation. The reference exemplifies (Nos. 9, 13) blends of PC, ABS, talc, Metablen S2001 and other additives. The ABS is based on styrene and acrylonitrile and therefore qualifies as (B). The reference also lists (col. 5, line 58) acrylonitrile/styrene copolymer as an alternative to ABS.

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Fujiguchi '201 Patent in view of Obayashi '914.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

Fujiguchi exemplifies (No. 1) a blend of PC, SAN, ABS and Metablen S2001.

Glass fiber (col. 9, line 21) can be included, but amounts are not specified.

Obayashi teaches glass fibers in amounts of 3-20% (col. 5, line 32) are effective in PC/metablen S2001 compositions. It would have been obvious to include 3-20% glass fibers in Fujiguchi's blend for reinforcement.

Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Nodera lacks vinyl polymer (B).

This is not convincing. The polystyrene grafted rubber of Nodera's examples is a polymer based on a vinyl monomer. Additionally, Nodera indicates styrene/acrylonitrile copolymer can be used in place of the polystyrene grafted rubber.

Applicant argues WO 00/12629 lacks vinyl polymer (B).

This is not convincing. The ABS of the examples is a polymer based on vinyl monomers. The reference also lists styrene/AN copolymer as an alternative to ABS.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Doub Bith

D. Buttner/dh July 8, 2003